

# Language as Networks

Seminar XIV

Marco Pelliccia

- People speak a wide variety of languages.

- People speak a wide variety of languages.
- Why people speak different languages?

## A model of diversity of languages

- Population of  $n$  individuals.
- Two languages,  $E$  (English) and  $S$  (Spanish).
- Initially, each individual speaks one single language. Denote with  $n_i$  the number of  $i$  language speakers.
- Each individual can learn a new language at cost  $\phi > 0$ . Denote with  $n_{ij}$  the number of individuals  $i$ -native which have learnt  $j \neq i$  language.
- The Utility of  $i$ -native individual is given by

$$U_i = \begin{cases} \alpha(n_i + n_{ji}) & \text{if he does not learn a new language} \\ \alpha n - \phi & \text{if he learns } j \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is a parameter measuring the importance of communicating with others.

# A model of diversity of languages

## Definition

A **language acquisition equilibrium** is the pair  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji})$  that satisfies

- given  $n_{ji}$ ,  $i$ -speakers learn  $j \iff \alpha n - \phi \geq \alpha(n_i + n_{ji})$
- given  $n_{ij}$ ,  $j$ -speakers learn  $i \iff \alpha n - \phi \geq \alpha(n_j + n_{ij})$

# A model of diversity of languages

## Definition

A **language acquisition equilibrium** is the pair  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji})$  that satisfies

- given  $n_{ji}$ ,  $i$ -speakers learn  $j \iff \alpha n - \phi \geq \alpha(n_i + n_{ji})$
- given  $n_{ij}$ ,  $j$ -speakers learn  $i \iff \alpha n - \phi \geq \alpha(n_j + n_{ij})$

which can be rewritten as

- $i$ -speakers learn  $j \iff \phi \leq \alpha(n_j + n_{ji})$
- $j$ -speakers learn  $i \iff \phi \leq \alpha(n_i + n_{ij})$

# A model of diversity of languages

## Proposition

*If all native- $i$  speakers learn  $j$ , then native- $j$  speakers will not learn  $i$ .  
Similarly, if all native- $j$  speakers learn  $i$ , native- $i$  will not learn  $j$ .*

# A model of diversity of languages

## Proposition

*If all native- $i$  speakers learn  $j$ , then native- $j$  speakers will not learn  $i$ .  
Similarly, if all native- $j$  speakers learn  $i$ , native- $i$  will not learn  $j$ .*

Positive network externalities when an individual learn a foreign language: when  $i$  learn  $j$ , not only increases his utility getting the ability to communicate with  $j$ , but also increases utility of  $j$  who then do not have to learn  $i$ .



# A model of diversity of languages

- Note that  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji}) = (n_i, n_j)$  is not an equilibrium!

## A model of diversity of languages

- Note that  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji}) = (n_i, n_j)$  is not an equilibrium!
- Only three equilibria:

$$(n_{ij}, n_{ji}) = \{(n_i, 0); (0, n_j); (0, 0)\}$$

# A model of diversity of languages

## Proposition

Suppose that  $n_i > n_j$ . Then,

- (a) If  $\phi \leq \alpha n_j$ , there are two language acquisition equilibria given by  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji}) = \{(n_i, 0); (0, n_j)\}$
- (b) If  $\alpha n_j < \phi \leq \alpha n_i$ , there is one unique equilibrium given by  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji}) = (0, n_j)$
- (c) If  $\alpha n_i < \phi$ , there is one unique equilibrium given by  $(n_{ij}, n_{ji}) = (0, 0)$

## Welfare Analysis

Define the utilitarian economy's social welfare function as

$$W = n_i U_i + n_j U_j$$

## Welfare Analysis

Define the utilitarian economy's social welfare function as

$$W = n_i U_i + n_j U_j$$

Then, from the previous propositions we obtain

$$W(0, 0) = \alpha n_i^2 + \alpha n_j^2$$

$$W(n_i, 0) = \alpha n^2 - n_i \phi$$

$$W(0, n_j) = \alpha n^2 - n_j \phi$$

$$W(n_i, n_j) = \alpha n^2 - n \phi$$

where clearly  $W(n_i, n_j) < W(n_i, 0) < W(0, n_j)$ .

## Welfare Analysis

Thus, no-learning is socially inferior to having all  $j$ -speakers learning  $i$  if and only if

$$W(0, 0) = \alpha n_i^2 + \alpha n_j^2 \leq \alpha n^2 - n_j \phi = W(0, n_j)$$

## Welfare Analysis

Thus, no-learning is socially inferior to having all  $j$ -speakers learning  $i$  if and only if

$$W(0, 0) = \alpha n_i^2 + \alpha n_j^2 \leq \alpha n^2 - n_j \phi = W(0, n_j)$$

which happens when

$$\frac{\phi}{2} \leq \alpha n_i$$

For sufficiently high value of  $n_i$  or low value of  $\phi$ , the outcome  $(0, n_j)$  is an equilibrium if and only if it is socially optimal. What can we say for intermediate values of  $\phi$ ?

# Welfare Analysis

## Proposition

*When  $\phi/2 < \alpha n_i < \phi$ , there exists a market failure where it is socially optimal to have all  $j$  speakers learning  $i$ , but such an outcome is not an equilibrium.*



# Welfare Analysis

## Proposition

*When  $\phi/2 < \alpha n_i < \phi$ , there exists a market failure where it is socially optimal to have all  $j$  speakers learning  $i$ , but such an outcome is not an equilibrium.*

This could be the condition under which a government of a country with dual (or more) languages should subsidise individuals' learning cost of a second language.

## Remarks

- Some researchers argue that progress is established only in pluralistic societies since pluralism generates more competition among the different groups in a given society or among societies.
- Learning a new language may have benefits beyond the ability to communicate with more people.