

# Microeconomic Analysis

## Seminar 6

Marco Pelliccia (mp63@soas.ac.uk, Room 474)

SOAS, 2014

2 questions...

Consider an industry with  $N = 3$  firms, each having marginal costs equal to 0. The inverse demand curve is

$$P(Q) = 60 - Q$$

with  $Q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$  is total output.

**If each firm behaves as a Cournot competitor, what is the firm 1's best response function?**

The profit of firm 1 is given by

$\pi_1 = P(Q)q_1 = (60 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3)q_1$ . Therefore the FOC will lead

$$60 - 2q_1 - q_2 - q_3 = 0 \implies q_1 = 30 - \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + q_3)$$

## Calculate the Cournot equilibrium.

The firms are identical, therefore we need to solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} q_1 = 30 - \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + q_3) \\ q_2 = 30 - \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_3) \\ q_3 = 30 - \frac{1}{2}(q_2 + q_1) \end{cases}$$

which leads to  $q_1^* = q_2^* = q_3^* = 15$ , price  $P = 60 - 45 = 15$ , and profit  $\pi_i = 15 \times 15 = 225$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Firm 2 and 3 decide to merge (marginal cost is still 0).  
Calculate the new industry equilibrium. Is firm 1 worse or  
better? Firms 2 and 3?**

If firms 2 and 3 merge, the best reply function of 1 becomes  $q_1 = 30 - (1/2)\tilde{q}$  with  $\tilde{q}$  the quantity produced by 2 and 3, and  $\tilde{q} = 30 - (1/2)q_1$ .

Solving the system we obtain  $q_1^* = 20$  and  $\tilde{q} = 20$ , where we can assume  $q_2 = q_3 = \tilde{q}/2$ . Therefore, the price in the market will be  $P = 60 - 40 = 20$ , and the profits  $\pi_1 = 20 \times 20 = 400$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi_3 = 20 \times 20/2 = 200$ .

The profit of firm 1 increases while it is lower for 2 and 3.

## Would it be good to form a cartel composed by all three firms?

The profit of the new monopolist will be  $(60 - Q_m)Q_m$ , and therefore the FOC

$$60 - 2Q_m = 0 \implies Q_m^* = 30$$

The price then will be  $P = 60 - 30 = 30$  and the profit for each firm composing the cartel,  $\pi_j = 30 \times 30/3 = 300$ . Therefore, it is convenient to form a cartel!

**Suppose that firm 1 can commit in advance to a certain level of output. What is the optimal level of  $q_1$ ? Calculate the profit of firm 1.**

Firms 2 and 3 observe the quantity  $q_1$  and compete between them *à la* Cournot. The best reply function for 2 is

$$q_2 = 30 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 - \frac{1}{2}q_3$$

Define  $30 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 \equiv A$ . Then we have  $q_2 = A - \frac{1}{2}q_3$  and  $q_3 = A - \frac{1}{2}q_2$ . Solving the system, we obtain  $q_2^* = q_3^* = (2/3)A$  and then  $Q_{2,3} = (4/3)A$ . In particular,

$$Q_{2,3} = \frac{4}{3}\left(30 - \frac{1}{2}q_1\right) = 40 - \frac{2}{3}q_1$$



The demand faced by firm 1 is

$$P = 60 - q_1 - 40 + \frac{2}{3}q_1$$

and profit function  $\pi_1 = (60 - 40 - \frac{1}{3}q_1)q_1$ . Solving by FOC we obtain  $q_1^* = 30$ .

Firms 2 and 3 will produce then  $q_2^* = q_3^* = 10$ . Thus,  $P = 60 - 50 = 10$ ,  $\pi_1 = 300$  and  $\pi_{2,3} = 100$ . Comparing the profits with the classic Cournot equilibrium (question b), we note that firm 1 benefits from the “leader” position, while firms 2 and 3 are worse.

Suppose that a new technology is created that allows for owners of a video game to copy and resell digital material for free. Suppose that a bootlegger has some probability of getting caught  $\alpha(x)$  in which case he will have to pay a fine  $F > 0$  and give up the revenues received from the copy. The probability  $\alpha(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ , the number of copies, i.e. the more copies you make, the more likely you are to get caught. We assume  $\alpha(x)$  differentiable. The profit of a bootlegger is

$$\max_x [1 - \alpha(x)]px - \alpha(x)F$$

Assume “free-entry” in the market of bootleggers.

- 1 Show that the scale of operation,  $x^*$ , is independent of the size of the fine  $F$ .
- 2 Compute the price charged by each bootlegger.
- 3 Suppose that the developer of the video-game faces a fixed cost  $K$  but zero variable costs  $c(q) = 0$ . We know that in the long-run, the developer produces the video-game if and only if  $pD(p) \geq K$ . Show that

$$\alpha F \geq (1 - \alpha) \frac{x^*}{D(p^*)} K$$

- 4 Based on your answer for c), would you expect the fine in a country with low  $\alpha$  to be higher or lower than the one charged in a country where  $\alpha$  is relatively high?

- 1 Show that the scale of operation,  $x^*$ , is independent of the size of the fine  $F$ .

Solving the FOC yields

$$\begin{aligned} p - p\alpha - px\alpha' - F\alpha' &= 0 \\ p(1 - \alpha) - \alpha'(F + px) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We need to explicate  $x$  as uniquely function of  $\alpha(x)$ . We need then another condition  $\rightarrow$  We can use the entry condition for each bootlegger!

We need to explicate  $x$  as uniquely function of  $\alpha(x)$ . We need then another condition  $\rightarrow$  We can use the entry condition for each bootlegger!

$$\text{Profit} = 0 \implies [1 - \alpha(x)]px - \alpha(x)F = 0 \quad (1)$$

In particular, we can see from (1) that  $px + F = \frac{px}{\alpha(x)}$ . We can replace it into the FOC.

$$p(1 - \alpha) - \alpha' \frac{px}{\alpha} = 0$$

so we can find  $x^* = \frac{(1 - \alpha(x))\alpha(x)}{\alpha'(x)}$ . In other words, the quantity copied by each bootlegger is not conditioned by the fine  $F$  but only by the probability to be caught  $\alpha(x)$ .

① **Compute the price charged by each bootlegger.**

Plugging  $x^*$  into the entry restriction we can find  $p^*$ ,

$$[1 - \alpha(x)]px^* - \alpha(x)F = 0 \implies p^* = \frac{\alpha(x^*)F}{(1 - \alpha(x^*))x^*}$$

- 1 Suppose that the developer of the video-game faces a fixed cost  $K$  but zero variable costs  $c(q) = 0$ . We know that in the long-run, the developer produces the video-game if and only if  $pD(p) \geq K$ . Show that

$$\alpha F \geq (1 - \alpha) \frac{x^*}{D(p^*)} K$$

$$p^* D(p^*) \geq K \implies \frac{\alpha(x^*)F}{(1 - \alpha(x^*))x^*} D(p^*) \geq K$$

Rearranging we obtain,

$$\alpha(x^*)F \geq \frac{(1 - \alpha(x^*))x^*K}{D(p^*)}$$



- 1 **Based on your answer for c), would you expect the fine in a country with low  $\alpha$  to be higher or lower than the one charged in a country where  $\alpha$  is relatively high?**

From the previous condition (in part c) ), we see that if  $\alpha$  is particularly small, the conditions guaranteeing the existence of a market for the developers would require relatively high fine  $F$ .